

JEFFERSON PARKWAY PUBLIC HIGHWAY AUTHORITY
SUMMARY OF MINUTES OF regular MEETING
APRIL 17, 2014 – 9:30 A.M.
ARVADA CITY HALL
8101 RALSTON ROAD, ARVADA, CO

I. Call to Order by Chairman Williams

Chairman Marc Williams called the meeting of the Jefferson Parkway Public Highway Authority (JPPHA) to order at 9:30 a.m. Present were Chairman Marc Williams; Vice-Chairman Don Rosier; Director Randy Ahrens; Director Bob Dyer; Director Greg Stokes; Ken Lloyd, RAQC Member; Lorraine Anderson, RTD member

Also in attendance were Bill Ray, Staff to JPPHA; Tamara Seaver, Legal Counsel for the JPPHA; Ralph Shell, Jefferson County Manager; Bill Tuthill, Broomfield City and County Attorney; Ellen Wakeman, Jefferson County Attorney; Faye Griffin, Jefferson County Commissioner; Kate Newman, Jefferson County

II. Pledge of Allegiance

III. Consent Items

A. Approval of Minutes of the March 7, 2014 JPPHA Meeting

Chairman Williams stated the minutes are approved as submitted.

IV. Report from Staff

A. Presentation by LS Gallegos – Northern Reach of JPPHA ROW at SH 128

Bill Ray introduced Dick Bauman, Jeff Wassenauer, and Matt McDole. Mr. Bauman briefly reviewed the project. Mr. Wassenauer said after meeting with all involved, they came up with two alternates. One alternate shows the Parkway going between the newly aligned Hwy. 128 and the airport, and the second alternate shows the Parkway going west of the airport. He said alternate one was chosen.

Mr. Ray said the next critical piece is to come up with a design for the intersection at Hwy. 72.

V. Report of the General Counsel - None

VI. Report from the Board of Directors

A. Director Rosier talked about a recent discussion during a City of Boulder Council meeting related to a comment made at the March 5 DRCOG meeting to remove the Jefferson Parkway from the Strategic Corridor Plan.

B. RTD Member Lorraine Anderson said she finds it very disturbing that the City of Boulder and Boulder County are not looking at a metro vision for Denver.

C. Director Dyer asked what the ramifications are if the Boulders are violating the Intergovernmental Agreement. Mr. Rosier discussed various provisions of the IGA that Jefferson County has with Boulder.

VII. Public Comment - None

VIII. Executive Session

- A. Discussion of matters being negotiated, Pursuant to CRS 24-6-402(4)(e)
- B. Discussion of matters regarding land acquisitions, Pursuant to CRS 24-6-402(4)(a)
- C. Discussion of matters regarding legal advice, Pursuant to CRS 24-6-402(4)(b)

Tamara Seaver, Legal Counsel, stated there are matters for executive session as shown above.

Director Rosier moved to go into executive session for the above noted items.

The following votes were cast on the motion:

Those voting Yes: Williams, Rosier, Ahrens, Dyer, Stokes

The Motion was approved.

IX. New Business

- A. Approval of reimbursement agreements with Jefferson County , Arvada and Broomfield

Mr. Ray said all three parties have now adopted their reimbursement agreements and it is proposed that the Board review the three agreements on an annual basis at their April meeting each year.

Director Rosier moved to approve the Reimbursement Agreements. Director Ahrens seconded.

The following votes were cast on the motion:

Those voting Yes: Williams, Rosier, Ahrens, Dyer, Stokes

The Motion was approved.

- B. Modification of Goldman Sachs Letter of Engagement

Ms. Seaver said given developments in the project and their work on the report, discussions have taken place between Mr. Ray and Goldman Sachs, and we have sent to them and they are agreeable, to a suspension of the monthly payment until such time as the parties feel it is time to reactivate them.

Director Rosier moved for a delegation of authority to Mr. Ray and Legal Counsel for negotiations on discussions with Goldman Sachs on their monthly fee. Director Stokes seconded.

The following votes were cast on the motion:

Those voting Yes: Williams, Rosier, Ahrens, Dyer, Stokes

The Motion was approved.

- C. Extension of C&E agreement with Isolux

Mr. Ray said the Board and Isolux are currently working on a 30-day rollover. Mr. Ray said the question before the Board is whether to approve an extension through December 31 of this year to show them our continued commitment, which is staff's recommendation. Ms. Seaver said the first question is the extension of the C&E, to leave the right of first negotiation date as it currently is, and that they be allowed to have discussions.

Director Dyer moved to that effect and clarified that this will provide the ability of Isolux to meet with and coordinate with HTPE and CDOT to make sure a representative of the Authority is in

attendance at those meetings, will extend the C&E to the end of the year, and will leave the right of negotiation to April 5, 2015.

The following votes were cast on the motion:

Those voting Yes: Williams, Rosier, Ahrens, Dyer, Stokes

The Motion was approved.

- X. Adjournment at 10:47 a.m. following the executive session.



Marc Williams, Chairman

Christine Koch, Recording Secretary